Bull. Ind. Soc. Earth. Tech., Paper No.319, Vol.29, No.2, June, 1992, pp. 29-36

ON ESTIMATION OF LOCAL MAGNITUDE (M,) OF THE DHARAMSHALA EARTHQUAKE OF 1986 USING STRONG MOTION ARRAY DATA

S.K.Jain, V.N.Singh and Ramesh Chander

Department of Earthsciences, University of Roorkee, Roorkee

ABSTRACT

In this paper we make use of digital strong notion accelerograms recorded for Dharamshala earthquake of April 26,1986 to estimate its M_L . Digital acceleration data from six stations around the epicentre in the Dharamshala region are inputs for generation of synthetic Wood-Anderson seismograms using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method. An estimation of local magnitude (M_L) is made from the synthetic W-A seismograms. An average value of $M_L = 5.5$ is in good agreement with the previously reported value of 5.40.

INTRODUCTION

The area affected by the Dharamshala earthquake of April 26,1986 lies in the main seismotectonic belt of the Himalaya (Kumar and Mahajan,1990) (Fig.1). Within this centuary this area has sufferd a number of significant earthquakes, such as the great Kangra earthquake of 1905, moderate earthquakes of 5th November,1968 and 14th June,1978 as well as the earthquake under discussion here. The Dharamshala earthquake of 1986 occurred in the epicentral tract of the great 1905 Kangra earthquake. Thus the region has been identified as one of great seismic risk and placed in Zone V on the seismic zoning map of India (Fig.1).

Three major rivers, namely, Ravi, Beas and Satluj flow through the region. A number of hydroelectric projects are located on these rivers and many other engineering projects are coming up in the area. Much other developmental activity is also underway there. Seismic risk mitigation measures should be undertaken in the region if developmental work has to go on uninterruptedly. Among these measures, earthquake resistant design of structures is desirable. For this purpose knowledge of probable strong ground motion at sites in the the region is necessary.

Local magnitude $(M_{\rm L})$ is determined using waves in the period range of 0.2 to 3 secs. The natural periods of vibration of the fundamental modes of important civil engineering structures (e.g. a dam or a high rise building) also lie within these limits frequently. Hence M_L is a parameter directly related to strong ground motion and its determination can be very useful for designing earthquake

30 Bulletin of the Indian Society of Earthquake Technology, June 1992

resistant structures in the region. Here we estimate M_L of Dharamshala earthquake using strong ground motion data. Using this estimate, the Dharamshala earthquake strong motion records can be accurately scaled for estimation of strong ground motion during earthquakes of other magnitudes which may be anticipated in future in that region.

Although the entire Himalayan domain is seismically active and worthy of detailed investigations, two strong motion arrays have been installed so far in NE India and Kangra regions. While the first strong ground motion record was produced in India for the Koyna earthquake of 1967, in the Himalayan region, the Dharamshala earthquake of 1986 yielded the first strong ground motion recordings.

As the strong ground motion recording took place within a short distance of the epicentre, the $M_{\rm L}$ determined in this way should be subject to effects of distance and medium inhomogeneities minimally. Therefore this $M_{\rm L}$ estimate should be more reliable to that obtained with a standard Wood-Anderson seismograph at a larger epicentral distance. Moreover, strong motion records obtained with digital accelerographs have the advantage that data processing can commence directly.

DETERMINATION OF M; USING STRONG MOTION DATA

h.

The concept of local magnitude $(M_{\rm I})$ was given by Richter (1935). Its determination is based upon Wsod-Anderson seismograph records. The instrument has a natural period 0.8 secs, damping 80% of critical and static magnification of 2800. Standard Wood- Anderson seismograph normally works only at short epicentral distances. But for earthquakes having $M_{\rm I} \geq 4.5$ and epicentral distances ≤ 25 km. this instrument is not reliable because it is driven off scale (Jennings and Kanamori, 1983).So, for large earthquakes at short epicentral distances it is logical to use strong motion array data to determine local magnitudes by first computing synthetic (Housner et al, 1964) Wood-Anderson seismograms.

Kanamori and Jennings (1978) used strong motion records to calculate local magnitude. They applied the time domain convolution method to generate the synthetic seismograms. Uhrhammer and Collins (1990) and Uhrhammer and Bolt (1991) used frequency domain convolution to compute the local magnitude. If, as in the present case, strong motion accelerogram is in digital form, then theoretical standard Wood-Anderson impulse response (given by Bullen., 1953, page 142) can not be used as such. We need digital simulation of analog impulse response for digital convoluton with digital strong motion acceleration data (accelerogram) in order to get synthetic W-A seismogram. This problem of convolution has been avoided here by using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method (Scarborough, 1930) to integrate the Wood-Anderson seismograph equation directly.

DATA

The epicentral data of Dharamshala earthquake of April 26,1986, revised by Indian Meteorological Department, New Delhi, using seismographic and strong motion array data are (Chandrasekaran, 1988 and Srivastava, 1989) :

Epicentre	Lat.	32.193	N
	Long.	76.290 ⁰	E

Magnitude (M _{T.})	5.4	10		
Focal depth	10	km	(appx)	
Origin time	07	35	17	GMT

We have analysed twelve strong motion digital accelerograms of this earthquake published by Chandrasekaran (1988). The records were obtained at six stations of the strong motion array located around the Dharamshala region. The station locations are shown in Fig.2.

DATA AMALYSIS

Fig. 3 shows a sample accelerogram and the corresponding synthetic Wood-Anderson seismogram, obtained using the fourth order Runge-Kutta method. From such synthetic seismograms we obtained maximum trace amplitudes (in mm) and then calculated the local magnitude ($M_{\rm L}$) using the formula given by Richter (1958) :

$$M_{L} = \log_{10} X - \log_{10} X'(\Delta)$$

where X is maximum synthetic W-A trace amplitude

 $-\log_{10}X^{i}(\Delta)$ is the correction factor corresponding to the epicentral distance Δ (Richter, 1958, page 342). The epicentral distance is calculated using Bullen's (1953) formula :

 $\Delta^2 = (\Theta - \Theta')^2 + (\phi - \phi')^2 \sin^2 [(\Theta + \Theta')/2]$

where, (Θ, ϕ) : coordinates of epicentre (Θ', ϕ') : coordinates of recording station Θ : co-latitude ϕ : east longitude 32 Bulletin of the Indian Society of Earthquake Technology, June 1992

RESULT

Table 1 gives synthetic peak ground displacements and corresponding estimates of local magnitude at six stations used in this study. The results are also displayed in Fig.2. The range of M_L estimates is 5.41-5.69. Thus an average value of M_L =5.53, rounded to 5.5, is obtained using strong

motion array data. The value reported by IMD is 5.4.

Importance of this analysis and result is that this method could be used for recent large earthquakes, such as, the Assam earthquake of August 7,1988, and the Bihar-Nepal earthquake of August 21,1988, for which digital strong motion accelerograms are available. It could also be used in future for other large earthquakes picked up using digital strong motion arrays.

REFERENCES

ţ

Arya, A.S. et. al. (1986), "Dharamsala Earthquake of April 26,1986", Symp. on Earthq. Engg., Vol. 2nd, pp. 73-90, University of Roorkee, Roorkee.

Bullen, K.E. (1953), " An Introduction to the Theory of Seismology ". Ed. 2, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge., pp. 142, 151-152.

Chandrasekaran, A. R. (1988), "Analysis of Strong Motion Accelerograms of Dharamshala Barthquake of April 26, 1986", Report EQ.88-10, Department Of Earthquake Engineering., University Of Roorkes, Roorkes, India.

Housner, G.W., ASCE, M., and Jennings, P.C. (1964), "Generation of Artificial Earthquakes", Jour. of the Engineering Mechanics Division. Proc. Of The Amer. Soc. Of Civil Engineers., Vol. 90, No. EM 1, pp. 113-150.

Jennings, P.C., and Kanamori, H. (1983), "Effect of Distance on Local Magnitudes found from Strong-Motion Records", Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., Vol. 73, No. 1, pp. 265-280.

Kanamori,H., and Jennings,P.C. (1978), "Determination of Local Magnitude, M_L, from Strong Motion Accelerograms", Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., Vol. 68, No.2, pp. 471-485.

Kumar,S., and Mahajan, A.K. (1990), "Study of Intensities of 26 th April, 1986 Dharamshala Earthquake (Himachal Pradesh) And Associated Tectonics", Jr. Geol. Soc. Ind., Vol.35, pp. On Estimation of Local Magnitude.....

213-219.

Richter, C.F. (1935), "An Instrumental Earthquake Magnitude Scale", Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., Vol. 25, pp. 1-32.

Richter, C.F. (1958), "Elementary Seismology", W.H.Freeman and Company, San Francisco., pp. 340-342.

Scarborough, J.B. (1930), "Numerical Mathematical Analysis", Oxford and IBH Publishing Co., pp. 356-360.

Srivastava, H.N. (1989), "Application of Strong Motion Data for Epicentral Determination of Earthquakes", Bull. Ind. Soc. Earthq. Tech., Vol. 26, No. 1, pp. 221-235.

Uhrhammer, R.A. and Bolt, B.A. (1991), "The Seismic Magnitude of the 1989 Loma Prieta Mainshock Determined from Strong Motion Records", Bull. Seis. Soc.Am., Vol.81, No. 5, pp. 1511-1517.

Uhrhammer, R.A. and Collins, E.R. (1990), "Synthesis of Wood-Anderson Seismograms using Broadband Digital Records", Bull. Seis. Soc. Am., Vol. 80, pp. 702-716.

Bulletin of the Indian Society of Earthquake Technology, June 1992

TABLE 1

Local magnitude ${\rm M}_{\rm L}$ for Dharamshala earthquake estimated from strong motion array data

Station	Station Code	Seismograg Component (in degree)	Epi. oh Dist. (Km)	Dist. correc- tion for M _L -log ₁₀ X')	Max. Syn. W-A tra amplitud X (mm)	N _L	Nean M _L for each station
Dharanshal		N76W	3.84	1 4 6	006 028	E 34	
		N14E	3.54	1.4 1	9287.52	5.68	5.53
Shahour	внан	N75E	10.38	1.5 1	0223.47	8.81	
		N15W	10.38	1.5 2	4057.20	5.86	5.69
Kangra	KANG	N43W	10.58	1.5 8	334.811	5.42	· ·
_		- N472	10.58	1.5 1	8161.54	5.76	5.59
Nagrota	NAG	885W	13.55	1.55 1	3685.84	5.69	
Bagwan	BAG	N5W	13.55	1.55 3	834.301	5.13	5.41
Baroh	BARO	N25W	21.60	1.75 5	503.353	5.49	
		N65E	21.60	1.75 4	848.861	5.44	5.46
Sihunta	SIHU	N25W	22.81	1.8 4	500.372	5.45	
		N65E	22.81	1.8 5	886.350	5.57	5.51
M _L Average	=5.53			<u> </u>			

. .

1

34

On Estimation of Local Magnitude.....



Fig.1 Seismicity of Himachal Pradesh in the NW Himalaya. Available data on moderate earthquakes is plotted along with the epicentres of 1905 (big circle) and 1986 earthquake (+). Information from seismic soning map of India attached to ISI Code 1893-1975 is shown through Roman numerals (seismicity information after Arya, 1986).



Fig.2 "Station locations and M_L estimates around Dharamshala region. Station codes are taken from Table 1. E represents the epicentre of the Dharamshala earthquake of 1986.

35





36

i